arXiv:1512.04324v1 [math.AC] 14 Dec 2015

# A NOTE ON FRÖBERG'S CONJECTURE FOR FORMS OF EQUAL DEGREES

GLEB NENASHEV

Abstract. In this note by using elementary considerations, we settle Fröberg's conjecture for a large number of cases, when all generators of ideals have the same degree.

Let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in $n$ variables, where $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraicly closed field of characteristic zero. The number of variables $n$ and the field $\mathbb{K}$ will be fixed throughout the whole paper. Denote by $S_d$ the $d$-th graded component of $S$, i.e., the linear space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ in $n$ variables.

In [Fr] R. Fröberg formulated the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let $f_1, \dots, f_z$ be generic forms of degrees $a_1, \dots, a_z$ respectively. Set $I = <f_1, \dots, f_z>$. The Hilbert series of $S/I$ is given by:*

$$HS_{S/I}(t) = \left[ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{z}(1-t^{a_i})}{(1-t)^n} \right],$$

*where [..] means that we truncate a real formal power series at its first negative term.*

He proved Conjecture 1 for 2 variables and noticed that the left-hand side is bigger or equal than the right-hand side in the lexicographic sense. Later in [An] D. J. Anick proved Conjecture 1 for 3 variables. The conjecture is trivial, when $z \leqslant n$, and according to R. Stanley's result in [St] it is true for $z = n + 1$. For 4 variables, in [MM] J. Migliore and R. M. Miró-Roig proved that any ideal generated by generic forms has weak Lefschetz property (strong L.P. is enough for a proof of the conjecture). In this note we present some related results in the case when all degrees $a_1, \dots, a_z$ are the same.

Let $\mathcal{D}_d$ be any nonempty class of forms of degree $d$ closed under the linear changes of coordinates. For example: $\mathcal{D}_d = S_d$ or $\mathcal{D}_d$ is the set of all $d$-th powers of linear forms.

We will work with the Hilbert function of an ideal; it is easy to convert it to Hilbert function of the quotient algebra, because the sum of dimensions of $m$-th graded components of $S/I$ and of $I$ is the dimension of $S_m$. For $\mathcal{D}_d$ and $z$, denote by $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(m)$ the dimension of the $m$-th graded component of an ideal generated by $z$ generic forms from $\mathcal{D}_d$; and denote by $HS_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(t) = \sum HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(m)t^m$ the Hilbert series of this ideal. In [HL] M. Hochster and D. Laksov found the values of $HF_{(S_d,z)}(d+1)$ for any $d$ and $z$. Below we generalize their result for the $(d+k)$-th graded component, but we miss $2 \cdot dim(S_k)$ possible values of $z$.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $d$ and $k$ be positive integers. Then*

- *for $z \leqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+k})}{dim(S_k)} - dim(S_k)$, $\quad HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = z \cdot dim(S_k)$;*
- *for $z \geqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+k})}{dim(S_k)} + dim(S_k)$, $\quad HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = dim(S_{d+k})$.*

In [Au] M. Aubry got the result of the first type, his result cover only thin set of cases ($d$ is larger than some complicated function depends on $k$ and $z$). In [MM]

J. Migliore and R. M. Miró-Roig also wrote similar result as a consequence of Anick's work, however their result only for small $z$ (the upper bound for $z$ depends only on $d, k$; doesn't depend on number of variables).

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we get the following statement.

**Proposition 2.** *Let $d$ and $z$ be positive integers. If there exists $r$ such that*

$$\frac{dim(S_{d+r+1})}{dim(S_{r+1})} + dim(S_{r+1}) \leqslant z \leqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+r})}{dim(S_r)} - dim(S_r),$$

*then the Hilbert series of the ideal generated by $z$ generic forms from $\mathcal{D}_d$ is given by*

$$HS_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} min(z \cdot dim(S_k), dim(S_{d+k}))t^{d+k} = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} - \left[\frac{(1-t^d)^z}{(1-t)^n}\right].$$

(*Recall that $\mathcal{D}_d$ is any nonempty subset of $S_d$ closed under linear changes of coordinates.*)

Of course, all interesting cases correspond to $z \leqslant dim(S_d)$; otherwise $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(m) = dim(S_m)$ for $m \geqslant d$. Denote by $p_d = \frac{\#\{z \leqslant dim(S_d) \text{ satisfying to Proposition 2}\}}{dim(S_d)}$ the "probability" that a given $z \leqslant dim(S_d)$ is covered by Proposition 2.

**Example 1.** *For $n = 5$ and $d = 10$, $dim(S_d) = 1001$;*
*$dim(S_1) = 5$ and $\frac{dim(S_{d+1})}{dim(S_1)} = 273$;*
*$dim(S_2) = 15$ and $\frac{dim(S_{d+2})}{dim(S_2)} = \frac{364}{3} = 121\frac{1}{3}$;*
*$dim(S_3) = 35$ and $\frac{dim(S_{d+3})}{dim(S_3)} = 68$.*
*Then the Hilbert series is given by Fröberg's conjecture at least if number of generators $z$ belongs to one of the following intervals:*

- $z \geqslant 278$;
- $268 \geqslant z \geqslant 137$;
- $106 \geqslant z \geqslant 103$.

*In other words, the Hilbert series is the standard one except possibly for $141 = 9 + 30 + 102$ cases. Thus*

$$p_{10} = 1 - \frac{141}{1001} = 0,859..$$

*For larger $d$: $p_{15} = 0,927..$; $p_{25} = 0,968..$; $p_{40} = 0,986..$.*

**Proposition 3.** *For any fixed number of variables, the probability $p_d$ tends to 1 as $d \to +\infty$.*

Proposition 3 means that Proposition 2 gives the criterium, which covers a huge number of nontrivial cases for large $d$. As a consequence, we get that Fröberg's conjecture is true for many previously unknown cases for large $d$ when the degrees of all forms are the same.

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to Ralf Fröberg, Alessandro Oneto for introducing me to this topic and to my supervisor Boris Shapiro for his comments and help with this short text.

## Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Fix $d$, $k$ and $\mathcal{D}_d$. For a given $z$, define $a_z$ as the dimension of the $(d+k)$-th graded component of the intersection of two ideals generated by $z$ forms from $\mathcal{D}_d$ and by one extra form from $\mathcal{D}_d$, which are generic. In other words, if $g_1, \dots, g_z, g$ be generic forms, then

$$a_z := dim\left(<g_1, \dots, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}\right).$$

**Lemma 1.** *If $a_{z+1} = a_z \neq 0$, then $a_z = dim(S_k)$ and $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = dim(S_{d+k})$.*

*Proof.* Consider generic forms $g_1, \dots, g_z, g'_1, \dots, g'_z$ and $g$ from $\mathcal{D}_d$.

We know that

$$dim\left(<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}\right) = a_z =$$
$$= a_{z+1} = dim\left(<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}\right).$$

We have

$$dim\left(<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}\right) = dim\left(<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}\right).$$

The intersection in the left-hand side is a subspace of that in the right-hand side. Hence, they should coincide, we get

$$<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k} = <g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k} = <g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k},$$

which implies

$$<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k} = <g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}.$$

Similarly, if we change $g_{z-1}$ in right-hand side by the form $g'_{z-1}$, we get the same space. Repeating this procedure with $g_{z-2}$, $g_{z-3}$ and etc, we obtain

$$<g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k} = <g'_1, \dots g'_{z-1}, g'_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}.$$

Hence for generic $g_1, \dots, g_z, g \in \mathcal{D}_d$, the linear space $V_g := <g_1, \dots g_{z-1}, g_z>_{d+k} \cap <g>_{d+k}$ depends only on $g$.

Fix any generic $g$, and choose a form $h \in V_g$. Hence for any generic $g_1, \dots, g_z$, the form $h$ belongs to the ideal. For a linear coordinate transformation $A$, denote by $h_A$ the form $h$ after this coordinate transformation. Consider coordinate transformations $A_1, \dots, A_b$ ($b$ is finite) such that the linear span of $h_{A_1}, \dots, h_{A_b}$ has the maximal dimension.

For generic $g_1, \dots, g_z$ (generic with these $b$ coordinate transformations), the forms $h_{A_1}, \dots, h_{A_b}$ belong to the ideal $I$ generated by $\{g_1, \dots, g_z\}$. Hence, the linear span of $h_{A_1}, \dots, h_{A_b}$ belongs to the ideal $I$. Since this linear space has the maximal dimension, it is closed under the change of coordinates.

Hence, there is a nonempty linear space $H \subset S_{d+k}$ closed under the change of coordinates such that it belongs to any ideal generated by generic $\{g_1, \dots, g_z\}$. However $S_{d+k}$ has only one such subspace, namely $H = S_{d+k}$. Therefore, the $(d+k)$-th graded component of the ideal is the whole $S_{d+k}$. This proves the lemma.

□

Let $z_0$ be the minimal $z$ such that $a_z \neq 0$, and $z_1$ be the minimal $z$ such that $a_{z_1} = dim(S_k)$. By Lemma 1, the dimension $a_z$ is strictly growing between $z_0$ and $z_1$, thus

$$z_1 - z_0 \leqslant dim(S_k).$$

It is clear that

- for $z \leqslant z_0$, the dimension $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = z \cdot dim(S_k)$;
- for $z \geqslant z_1$, the dimension $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = dim(S_{d+k})$.

Since $z_0 \leqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+k})}{dim(S_k)}$ and $z_1 \geqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+k})}{dim(S_k)}$, we have

$$z_1 \leqslant z_0 + dim(S_k) \leqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+k})}{dim(S_k)} + dim(S_k);$$

$$z_0 \geqslant z_1 - dim(S_k) \geqslant \frac{dim(S_{d+k})}{dim(S_k)} - dim(S_k),$$

which gives the proof of the theorem.

□

**Remark 1.** *In fact, we proved that* $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = min(z \cdot dim(S_k), dim(S_{d+k}))$ *except for at most possible* $dim(S_k)$ *cases for the values of* $z$*. However, we don't know these* $dim(S_k)$ *values exactly.*

**Proof of Proposition 2.** By Theorem 1, we know that $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+r+1) = dim(S_{d+r+1})$ and $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+r) = z \cdot dim(S_r)$. From the first claim, we get that the $(d+r+1)$-th graded component of the ideal is $S_{d+r+1}$, hence for $k \geqslant r+1$, the $(d+k)$-th graded component of ideal is $S_{d+k}$.

From the second claim, we get that for generic $g_1, \ldots, g_z$ from $\mathcal{D}_d$, there are no $f_1, \ldots, f_z \in S_r$ (not all zeroes) such that $g_1 f_1 + \ldots + g_z f_z = 0$. Hence, there are no such $f_1, \ldots, f_z \in S_k$, for $k \leqslant r$. Then for $k \leqslant r$, we have $HF_{(\mathcal{D}_d,z)}(d+k) = z \cdot dim(S_k)$. Hence in this case, the whole Hilbert series is given by Fröberg's conjecture.

□

**Proof of Proposition 3.** Take an integer $k$. Then for large $d$, we know the Hilbert series for at leat

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k} \left( \left( \frac{dim(S_{d+r})}{dim(S_r)} - dim(S_r) \right) - \left( \frac{dim(S_{d+r+1})}{dim(S_{r+1})} + dim(S_{r+1}) \right) \right)$$

different values of $z$. Then we have

$$1 - p_d \leqslant dim(S_d) - \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{k} \left( \left( \frac{dim(S_{d+r})}{dim(S_r)} - dim(S_r) \right) - \left( \frac{dim(S_{d+r+1})}{dim(S_{r+1})} + dim(S_{r+1}) \right) \right)}{dim(S_d)},$$

$$1 - p_d \leqslant \frac{\left( \frac{dim(S_{d+k+1})}{dim(S_{k+1})} \right)}{dim(S_d)} + \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{k} (dim(S_r) + dim(S_{r+1}))}{dim(S_d)}.$$

The fist summand tends to $\frac{1}{dim(S_{k+1})}$ and the second one tends to zero as $d$ increases. Hence, limsup of $(1-p_d)$ is at most $\frac{1}{dim(S_{k+1})}$. Therefore, $\lim_{d\to\infty}(1-p_d) = 0$, because we have such a bound for any integer $k$.

□

## References


[An] D. J. Anick, *Thin algebras of embedding dimension three,* Journal of Algebra 100 (1986), 235-259.

[Au] M. Aubry, *Série de Hilbert d'une algébre de polynômes quotient*, Journal of Algebra 176 (1995), 392-416.

[Fr] R. Fröberg, *An inequality for Hilbert series of graded algebras*, Math. Scand. 56 (1985), 117-144.

[HL] M. Hochster, D. Laksov, *The linear syzygies of generic forms*, Comm. Algebra, 15 (1-2) (1987), 227-239.

[MM] J. Migliore, R. M. Miró-Roig, *Ideals of general forms and the ubiquity of the weak Lefschetz property,* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 182 (2003), no. 1, 79-107.

[St] R. Stanley, *Weyl groups, the hard Lefschetz theorem, and the Sperner property*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods, 1 (1980), 168-184.



Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, S-10691, Stockholm, Sweden
*E-mail address*: nenashev@math.su.se